

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/640,627	08/14/2003	Brad Grossman	1875.4580000	8449	
26111 STERNE KES	7590 02/20/200 SSLER, GOLDSTEIN &	EXAM	EXAMINER		
1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.			HOFFMAN,	HOFFMAN, BRANDON S	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2136	•	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/20/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/640,627	GROSSMAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	BRANDON S. HOFFMAN	2136	

	BRANDON S. HOFFMAN	2136				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 30 January 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.				
 X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.			
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07().					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp.	liance with 37 CER 41 37 must be	Flad within two months	of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>						
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to 			cause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor		E below);				
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belown) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or 		lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reig	cted claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	,					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		•	ŭ			
7. ☐ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (of will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-6,9,10,14-16 and 18-26. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
13. Other:						
/Nasser G Moazzami/	02/13/2008					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2136

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the combination of references does not teach a plurality of microsequencers. A microsequencer, by definition, is a part of the control unit of a CPU. It generates the addresses used to step through the microprogram of a control store. With that said, the Instruction Generator (fig. 14, ref. num 334) represents the CPU. A part of the control unit of the CPU would be the global rotation address generacy (384, 386), which load or shift data for their register files. This represents the plurality of microsequencers. Applicant also argues that the combination of references does not teach a programmable combinational (opic module. The SVP 102 of Ohara represents the programmable combinational logic module. Column 7, lines 4:21 of Ohara, shows that the Instruction Generator 128 feeds the SVP 102 microinstructions which controls seven fundamental asting and ALU functions. Therefore, the SVP generates control signals.